SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF

In re Parentage:		
and	Petitioner, Respondent	NO. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW (PARENTAGE) (FNFCL)
	Respondent.	

I. BASIS FOR FINDINGS

The fir	ndings are based on:			
[] [] []	agreement. an order of default entered on trial. The following people attended:			
	[] Petitioner [] Petitioner's Lawyer [] Other:	[] Respo		Lawyei

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Upon the basis of the court record, the court FINDS:

2.1 NOTICE AND BASIS OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER THE RESPONDENTS.

All parties necessary to adjudicate the issues were served with a copy of the summons and petition and are subject to the jurisdiction of this court.

	[]	The facts below establish personal jurisdiction over the father:			
		[] [] []	The father was served within The mother was served with Both parties reside within the The child(ren) may have bee occurred within the state of	in the state of Washington. e state of Washington. en conceived as a result of sexual	intercourse that
		[]	Other:	w ashington.	
2.2	CONCEPTION OF THE CHILD.				
	The mother and father engaged in sexual intercourse resulting in the conception of [Name].				
	[]	Other:	[Ivallic	J.	
2.3 PARENTAGE.					
	[]	Genetic	e tests indicate a probability o	f paternity of [Name].	per cent for
	[]	The cou	urt has found	[Name] acknowledges paternity	of the child(ren). be the father of
	[]	A defau Other:	ult order has been entered aga		[Name].
2.4	OTHER PARTIES.				
	[]	Does no	ot apply.	[Name] is not the father of the child.	
		Other:		[:]	
2.5	COSTS AND FEES.				
	[]	There is no award of costs or fees because:			

	[]	The [] father [] mother has the need for the payment of costs and fees and the other party has the ability to pay these costs and fees. The [] father [] mother has incurred reasonable attorney costs and fees in the amount of \$		
	[]	Other:		
2.6	CON	TINUING RESTRAINING ORDER.		
	[]	Does not apply. A continuing restraining order against the [] father [] mother [] both parties is necessary because:		
	[]	Other:		
2.7	BASI	S OF JURISDICTION OVER THE CHILD.		
	[]	This court does not have jurisdiction over the child. This court has jurisdiction over the child for the reasons set forth below.		
	[]	This court has exclusive continuing jurisdiction. The court has previously made a child custody, parenting plan, residential schedule or visitation determination in this matter and retains jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.211.		
	[]	This state is the home state of the child because		
		[] the child lived in Washington with a parent or a person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months immediately preceding the commencement of this proceeding.		
		[] the child is less than six months old and has lived in Washington with a parent or a person acting as parent since birth.		
		 [] any absences from Washington have been only temporary. [] Washington was the home state of the child within six months before the commencement of this proceeding and the child is absent from the state but a parent or person acting as a parent continued to live in this state. 		
	[]	The child and the parents or the child and at least one parent or person acting as a parent, have significant connection with the state other than mere physical presence; and substantial evidence is available in this state concerning the child's care, protection, training and personal relationships; and [] the child has no home state elsewhere. [] the child's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that this		
		state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .271.		

	[]	All courts in the child's home state have declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custody of the child under RCW 26.27.261 or .271.			
	[]	No other state has jurisdiction.			
	[]	This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because the child is present in this state and the child has been abandoned or it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child because the child, or a sibling or parent of the child is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231.			
	[]	Other:			
2.8	RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULE/PARENTING PLAN.				
	[]	Does not apply. The residential schedule/parenting plan signed by the court on approved and incorporated as part of these findings. [Date]			
		[] This residential schedule/parenting plan is the result of an agreement of the parties.[] Other:			
2.9	CHILD SUPPORT.				
	[]	Does not apply. There are children in need of support and child support should be set pursuant to the Washington State Child Support Schedule. The Order of Child Support signed by the court on [Date], the child support worksheet, which has been approved by the court of the co			
	[]	by the court, are incorporated by reference in these findings. Other:			
2.10	ОТНЕ	R.			

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The court makes the following conclusions of law from the foregoing findings of fact:

3.1	JURISDICTION.				
	[]	The court has jurisdiction to enter an Other:	order in this matter.		
3.2	DISPOSITION.				
	schedu and ma	le/parenting plan for the child, make p	e child, make provision for a residential rovision for the past and current support of the child; costs, genetic test costs, Guardian ad Litem fees,		
3.3	CONTINUING RESTRAINING ORDER.				
	[]	Does not apply. A continuing restraining order should	d be entered.		
3.4	OTHER PARTIES.				
		Does not apply.	[Name] shall be dismissed from this action		
	[]	Other:	[Name] shall be dismissed from this action.		
3.5	ОТНЕ	R:			
Dated:					
			JUDGE/COMMISSIONER		
Presented by:			Approved for entry: Notice of presentation waived:		
Signatu	ire		Signature		
Print or Type Name		Jame	Print or Type Name		